

The invariant subspace associated to  $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  of a matrix  $M$  is

$$V = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(M) \cap S} V_{\lambda}$$

$$V_{\lambda} = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^n : (M - \lambda I)^k v = 0\}$$

In some cases, they are less sensitive to perturbations than individual eigenvectors

EXAMPLE :

$$M = \begin{array}{c} \text{A} \\ \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0.5 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0.5 + \epsilon & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 + \epsilon \end{array} \right] \text{B} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{sep}(A, B) \approx 0.7$$

$$\lambda_1 = 0.5$$

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_2 = 0.5 + \epsilon$$

$$v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \epsilon \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V_S = \text{span} \{v_1, v_2\} = \text{Im} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is much less sensitive to perturbation than the two eigenvectors that span it.

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 - \epsilon & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \epsilon & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & & 2 & 1 \\ & & & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{sep}(A, B) = \sigma_{\min}(I \otimes A - B^T \otimes I)$$

Theorem: let (Stewart, Sun ~1990s)

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} I \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \Lambda(A) \cap \Lambda(B) = \emptyset$$

and

$$M + \delta_M \quad \delta_M = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_A & \delta_C \\ \delta_D & \delta_B \end{bmatrix}$$

$$a = \|\delta_A\|, \quad b = \|\delta_B\|, \quad \dots$$

If

$$\left( \text{sep}(A, B) - a - b \right)^2 - 4d(\|C\|_F + c) \geq 0 \quad \beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma \geq 0$$

$$\text{sep}(A, B) - a - b \geq 0 \quad \beta > 0$$

Then, there is a unique matrix  $X$  with

$$\|X\|_F \leq \frac{2d}{\text{sep}(A, B) - a - b} \quad \frac{2\alpha}{\beta}$$

such that  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ X \end{bmatrix}$  spans an invariant subspace of  $M + \delta_M$ .

Proof: We would like to find a diagonal factorization

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -X & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A + \delta_A & C + \delta_C \\ \delta_D & B + \delta_B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ X & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A} & \hat{C} \\ 0 & \hat{B} \end{bmatrix}$$

If we find an  $X$  that does this, then  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ X \end{bmatrix}$  spans the

invariant subspace associated to the eigenvalues  $\lambda(\hat{A})$

The (2,1) block of the LHS is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -X & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A+\delta_A & C+\delta_C \\ \delta_D & B+\delta_B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ X \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -X(A+\delta_A) + \delta_D & -X(C+\delta_C) + B + \delta_B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ X \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -X(A+\delta_A) + \delta_D - \underbrace{X(C+\delta_C)X} + (B+\delta_B)X \quad \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

This is a nonlinear matrix equation, known as  
algebraic Riccati equation

The linear part of this equation,  $-X(A+\delta_A) + (B+\delta_B)X$   
can be associated to a matrix using vectorization

$$\hat{K} = I \otimes (B+\delta_B) - (A+\delta_A)^T \otimes I$$

$$K = I \otimes B - A^T \otimes I$$

$$\sigma_{\min}(K) = \sigma_{\min}(B, A) = \sigma_{\min}(A, B)$$

$$\sigma_{\min}(\hat{K}) = \sigma_{\min}(K + (I \otimes \delta_B - \delta_A^T \otimes I))$$

Recall that for any matrices  $M, E$ ,  $\sigma_{\min}(M+E) \geq \sigma_{\min}(M) - \|E\|$ ,

$$\text{so } \sigma_{\min}(\hat{K}) \geq \sigma_{\min}(K) - \|I \otimes \delta_B - \delta_A^T \otimes I\|$$

$$\geq \sigma_{\min}(A, B) - (a+b)$$

We can rewrite the Riccati equation as

$$\text{vec}(X(A+\delta_A) - (B+\delta_B)X) = \text{vec}(\delta_D - X(C+\delta_C)X)$$

$$\hat{K} \cdot \text{vec}(X) = \text{vec}(\delta_D - X(C+\delta_C)X)$$

$$\text{vec } X = \underbrace{\hat{K}^{-1}(\delta_D - X(C+\delta_C)X)}_{\Phi(\text{vec}(X))} \quad \Phi: \mathbb{C}^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$$

A solution of the equation exists if and only if  $\Phi$  has a fixed point.

We would like to use Brouwer's theorem to prove that one exists. We will show that there is  $r$  such that  $\Phi$  sends a ball

$$\mathcal{B} = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^{n^2} : \|x\| \leq r\} \text{ to itself}$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \{X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} : \|X\|_F \leq r\}$$

Let us assume that  $\|X\|_F \leq r$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(\text{vec}(X))\| &= \|\hat{K}^{-1} \text{vec}(\delta_D + X(C+\delta_C)X)\| \\ &\leq \|\hat{K}^{-1}\| \cdot (\|\delta_D\|_F + \|X\|_F \|C+\delta_C\|_F \|X\|_F) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\text{sep}(A,B) - \alpha - \beta} (d + (\|C\|_F + c)r^2)$$

If we find  $r > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{\text{sep}(A,B) - \alpha - \beta} (d + (\|C\|_F + c)r^2) = r$ ,

then  $\|\Phi(\text{vec}(X))\| \leq r$ , so  $\Phi$  sends  $\mathcal{B}$  to itself.

$$\beta = \text{sep}(A,B) - \alpha - \beta, \quad \alpha = d, \quad \delta = \|C\|_F + c$$

$$\frac{1}{\beta}(\alpha + \gamma r^2) = r \Leftrightarrow \alpha - \beta r + \gamma r^2 = 0$$

We switch to the equation  $\alpha s^2 - \beta s + \gamma = 0$

with solutions  $s_{\pm} = \frac{1}{r_{\mp}}$

$$s_{\pm} = \frac{\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma}}{2\alpha}$$

if  $\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma \geq 0$ , we have two real solutions.

We assume  $\alpha > 0$ , so that the larger of the two solutions is

$$s_+ = \frac{\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma}}{2\alpha} \geq \frac{\beta}{2\alpha}$$

I.e. there is

$0 < r_- \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\beta}$  that solves  $\alpha - \beta r + \gamma r^2 = 0$ .

We find  $r$  such that  $B = \{x : \|x\| \leq r\}$  is sent to itself by  $\Phi$ , then there is a solution to  $\Phi(x) = x$  with  $\|x\|_F \leq r$

$X$  solves the algebraic Riccati equation,

hence  $\begin{bmatrix} I \\ X \end{bmatrix}$  is an invariant subspace of  $M + \delta M$   $\square$

$$\hat{A} = A + \delta_A + (C + \delta_C) X$$

$$\|\hat{A} - A\|_F \leq a + (\|C\|_F + c) \frac{2d}{\text{sep}(A, B) - a - b}$$

Matrix functions: given  $f: U \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

can we generalize it to matrices  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ?

E.g.  $\exp(A) = I + A + \frac{A^2}{2} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \dots$

To give a definition, we start studying simple functions and simple matrices:  $J \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$  Jordan block with  $\lambda = 0$

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad J^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad J^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\dots J^{k-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \end{bmatrix}, \quad J^k = 0$$

Given a polynomial

$$p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d c_i x^i,$$

$$p(J) = \sum_{i=0}^d c_i J^i = \begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_{k-1} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & c_2 \\ 0 & & & \ddots & c_1 \\ & & & & c_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now let us take a general eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , and

$$J_\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & \\ & \lambda & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{What is } p(J_\lambda)$$

We can write

$$p(x) = p(\lambda) + p'(\lambda)(x-\lambda) + \frac{p''(\lambda)}{2}(x-\lambda)^2 + \dots + \frac{p^{(d)}(\lambda)}{d!}(x-\lambda)^d$$

(no remainder, since  $p^{(k)}(x) = 0$  for  $k > d$ )

$$p(J_\lambda) = p(\lambda)I + p'(\lambda)(J_\lambda - \lambda I) + \frac{p''(\lambda)}{2}(J_\lambda - \lambda I)^2 + \dots$$

$$\dots + \frac{p^{(d)}(\lambda)}{d!}(J_\lambda - \lambda I)^d$$

Note that  $J_\lambda - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$p(J_\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} p(\lambda) & p'(\lambda) & \dots & \frac{p^{(k-1)}(\lambda)}{(k-1)!} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & p(\lambda) & \\ & 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & & p(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}$$

Let now  $A$  be a matrix with Jordan decomposition

$$A = V J V^{-1}$$

$$J = \text{diag}(J_{\lambda_1}, J_{\lambda_2}, \dots, J_{\lambda_s}) \quad J_{\lambda_i} \in \mathbb{C}^{k_i \times k_i}$$

Using this decomposition, we can write

$$p(A) = V p(J) V^{-1} = V \text{diag}(p(J_{\lambda_1}), p(J_{\lambda_2}), \dots, p(J_{\lambda_s})) V^{-1}$$

$$p(J_{\lambda_i}) = \begin{bmatrix} p(\lambda_i) & p'(\lambda_i) & \dots & \frac{p^{(k_i-1)}(\lambda_i)}{(k_i-1)!} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & p(\lambda_i) & \\ & 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & & p(\lambda_i) \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition: Given a matrix  $A$  with Jordan blocks

$$J_{\lambda_i} \in \mathbb{C}^{k_i \times k_i}, \quad i=1, \dots, s, \quad \text{and given a function } f$$

that is differentiable at least  $k_i - 1$  times in  $\lambda_i$

we say that  $f$  is defined on  $A$ , and

$$f(A) := V \operatorname{diag}(f(J_{\lambda_1}), \dots, f(J_{\lambda_s})) V^{-1}$$

$$f(J_{\lambda_i}) = \begin{bmatrix} f(\lambda_i) & f'(\lambda_i) & \dots & \frac{f^{(k_i-1)}(\lambda_i)}{(k_i-1)!} \\ & \circ & & | \\ & & & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

Problem:  $V$  is not unique! Is this well-defined?

To prove this, we switch to a different alternative definition

Given  $f, A$ , let us construct a polynomial  $p(x)$  such that

$$(*) \quad f(\lambda_i) = p(\lambda_i), \quad f'(\lambda_i) = p'(\lambda_i), \dots, \quad f^{(k_i-1)}(\lambda_i) = p^{(k_i-1)}(\lambda_i) \quad \text{for all } i=1, \dots, s$$

(Hermite interpolation)

and we define  $f(A) := p(A) = \sum_{i=0}^d C_i A^i$

This definition does not depend on  $V$  from a Jordan decomposition, but it depends on  $p$ !

However, if the interpolation conditions  $(*)$  hold, then

$$p(J_{\lambda_i}) = \begin{bmatrix} p(\lambda_i) & p'(\lambda_i) & \dots & \frac{p^{(k_i-1)}(\lambda_i)}{(k_i-1)!} \\ & \circ & & | \\ & & & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$



is uniquely defined, and so is  $p(A)$ . More formally:

Lemma: given two polynomials  $p, q$  such that

$$p(\lambda_i) = q(\lambda_i), \dots \quad p^{(k_i-1)}(\lambda_i) = q^{(k_i-1)}(\lambda_i)$$

$$p(A) = q(A)$$

Proof: from the expression of  $p(VJV^{-1})$ , once we fix one Jordan form of  $A$ .  $\square$