Example: control theory [Datta, Ch. 5]

Control theory (important subject in engineering) is the study of dynamical systems + controllers.

Example can we keep an 'inverted pendulum' of length  $\ell$  in the unstable upright position (12 o' clock) by applying a steering force?

State  $x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \theta \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\theta$  is the angle formed by the pendulum (12 o' clock  $\leftrightarrow \theta = 0$ ).

Free system equations:

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \ddot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ g\ell \sin x_1 \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ g\ell x_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g\ell & 0 \end{bmatrix} x.$$
  
The system is not stable:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g\ell & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  has one positive and one negative eigenvalue.

### Example: controlling an inverted pendulum

Now we apply an additional steering force u (control):

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Can we choose u(t) so that the system is stable? Yes — even better: we can choose one of the form u(t) = Fx(t),  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 2}$ 

We can literally build a contraption (engine + camera) that sets the appropriate force according to the current state only (feedback control).  $u = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 \end{bmatrix} x$  gives the closed-loop system

$$\dot{x} = (A + BF)x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ f_1 + g\ell & f_2 \end{bmatrix} x.$$

Choosing  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , we can move the eigenvalues of A + BF arbitrarily. Remark:  $f_2 = 0$  (observing only position  $\theta$ ) isn't enough!

## Other examples

Heat equation: in a bar of uniform material (the segment [0, 1]), one endpoint 1 is kept at constant temperature 0°C, and we apply a variable temperature (amount of 'heat') u(t) at the other endpoint 0.

The temperature x(y, t) at position y and time t follows

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}x(y,t) = \alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}x(y,t), \quad x(0,t) = u(t), x(1,t) = 0.$$

We discretize in space: x(t) is a vector of temperatures at equi-spaced points  $h, 2h, \ldots, nh = 1$ .

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t),$$

 $A = rac{lpha}{\hbar^2} \operatorname{tridiag}(-1,2,-1), \ B = -rac{lpha}{\hbar^2} e_1.$ 

Other examples in [Datta, Ch. 5], e.g. electrical circuits.

Video: triple pendulum on a cart, e.g., youtu.be/cyN-CRNrb3E.

## The general setup

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}.$$

Q1 Can we stabilize the system around 0, i.e., choose u(t) = Fx(t) so that A + BF is stable?

Q2 Can we control the system, i.e., choose u(t) to reach a given value of  $x(t_F)$ ?

Not always: counterexample:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

No matter what we choose, we cannot change the dynamics of the second block of variables. If  $A_{22}$  has eigenvalues outside the LHP, there is nothing we can do.

# Controllability

This structure may be 'hidden' behind a change of basis, for instance  $A \leftarrow MAM^{-1}, B \leftarrow MB$ .

How do we check for it? Krylov spaces:

The pair (A, B) is called controllable if

$$\operatorname{span}(B, AB, \ldots, A^kB, \ldots) = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

## Controllability [Datta, Ch. 6, with more streamlined proofs]

### Definition

 $(A, B) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  is controllable iff  $K(A, B) = \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $K(A, B) := \operatorname{span}(B, AB, A^2B, \dots).$ 

It is enough to stop at  $A^{n-1}B$ , because  $A^n$  is a linear combination of  $I, A, \ldots, A^{n-1}$  (Cayley–Hamilton theorem).

#### Lemma

There exists a nonsingular  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that

$$M^{-1}AM = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M^{-1}B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(with  $A_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_1}$ ,  $A_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$ ,  $B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times m}$ , and  $n_2 \neq 0$ ) if and only if (A, B) is not controllable.

# Proof

$$\Rightarrow \text{ Partition } M = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ conformably. Then,}$$
$$A^k B = M \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^k B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = M_1 A_{11}^k B_1, \text{ so } K(A, B) \subseteq \text{ Im } M_1.$$

 $\Leftarrow$  Let the columns of  $M_1$  be a basis of K(A, B), and complete it to a nonsingular  $M = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then,  $M^{-1}AM$  is block triangular (because  $M_1$  is A-invariant), and  $M^{-1}B$  has zeros in the second block row (because the columns of B lie in Im  $M_1$ ).

(Linear algebra characterization: K(A, B) is the smallest *A*-invariant subspace that contains *B*. It's the space  $V_n$  that we obtain after we encounter breakdown in Arnoldi.)

# Kalman decomposition

### Kalman decomposition

For every matrix pair  $(A, B) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ , there is a change of basis M such that

$$M^{-1}AM = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M^{-1}B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

with  $(A_{11}, B_1)$  controllable.

**Proof**: as above: take  $M_1$  such that its columns are a basis of the 'controllable space' K(A, B), then complete it to a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

# Other controllability criteria

### Popov (or Hautus) criterion

(A, B) controllable  $\iff$  rank[A - zI, B] = n for all  $z \in \Lambda(A)$  $\iff$  rank[A - zI, B] = n for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

It is enough to test the condition on  $z \in \Lambda(A)$ , because for all other z we already have rank(A - zI) = n.

#### Proof

 $\leftarrow$  If (A, B) is not controllable, write it in a Kalman decomposition, then for  $z \in \Lambda(A_{22})$  the bottom part does not have full rank.

⇒ If  $v^*[A - \lambda I, B] = 0$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda(A)$ , then up to a change of basis we can assume  $v = e_n$ , and this implies (A, B) are in a Kalman decomposition (with  $n_2 = 1$ ).

# Controllability Gramian

(A, B) controllable iff

$$W = \int_0^t \exp(\tau A) B B^* \exp(\tau A)^* \mathrm{d} au \succ 0$$

for t > 0 (one or all, equivalently).

#### Proof

⇐ suppose (A, B) is not controllable. Then, for any tIm  $X \subseteq K(A, B)$ , because Im  $\exp(\tau A)Bx \in K(A, B)$ . ⇒ suppose instead that for some  $v \neq 0$  and t > 0

$$0 = v^* W v = \int_0^t v^* e^{At} B B^* e^{A^* t} v dt \implies \Phi(t) = v^* e^{At} B \equiv 0.$$

Evaluate  $0 = \Phi(0) = \Phi'(0) = \Phi''(0) = \dots$ , we get  $0 = v^*B = v^*AB = v^*A^2B = \dots$ 

Corollary If  $\Lambda(A) \subseteq LHP$ , then the solution of  $AW + WA^* + BB^* = 0$  satisfies  $W \succ 0$  iff (A, Q) controllable.

# Controllable means controllable

### Theorem

(A, B) controllable iff for any "target"  $(t_F, x_F)$  (typycally,  $x_F = 0$ ) we can choose a control u such that the system

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

has  $x(t_F) = x_F$ .

#### Proof

- $\Rightarrow$  If (A, B) is not controllable, then  $x(t) \in K(A, B)$  for all t.
- Recall that (solution of linear differential eqns)

$$x(t) = \exp(At)x_0 + \int_0^t \exp(A(t- au))Bu( au) d au.$$

Just take  $u(t) = B^* \exp(A(t - \tau))^* y$  (for a fixed vector y) to get  $x(t_F) = \exp(At_F)x_0 + Wy$ ,

which can 'reach' arbitrary vectors since  $W \succ 0$  is nonsingular.

# Stabilizability

Weaker condition: sometimes even if a system is not controllable we can still ensure that the solution converges to 0 via a feedback control.

### Definition

(A, B) is stabilizable if in its Kalman decomposition  $A_{22}$  is stable (i.e.,  $\Lambda(A_{22}) \subseteq LHP$ ).

Note that this definition is well-posed even if M is non-unique: the eigenvalues of  $A_{11}$  are the eigenvalues of  $A|_{K(A,B)}$ , and those of  $A_{22}$  are the remaining eigenvalues of A (counting with their algebraic multiplicity).

Hautus test: (A, B) stabilizable  $\iff$  rank(A - zI, B) = n for all  $z \notin LHP$ .

## How to test controllability numerically?

Numerically, almost any (A, B) is controllable: things are rarely zero. Anyway, various options:

- Run a (block) Krylov algorithm, and check if it breaks down early.
- Compute ∧(A) and check that rank[A − zI, B] = n for each z ∈ ∧(A).
- If Λ(A) ⊂ LHPs, then you can also solve the Lyapunov equation AW + WA\* + BB\* = 0 and see if the solution is ≻ 0.

What if  $\Lambda(A) \notin LHP$ ? You can use the following result:

 $K(A - \alpha I, B) = K(A, B)$ , hence  $(A - \alpha I, B)$  is controllable iff (A, B) is.

Proof For all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(A - \alpha I)^j B$  is a linear combination of  $B, AB, A^2B...$  hence  $K(A - \alpha I, B) \subseteq K(A, B)$ . And vice versa.

## How to test controllability numerically?

Remark The criterion with the Lyapunov equation actually corresponds to a physical quantity:  $x_0^* W^{-1} x_0$  is the minimal amount of energy  $\int_0^{t_F} u(\tau)^* u(\tau) d\tau$  that we need to reach  $x(t_F) = 0$  starting from  $x(0) = x_0$ . (We won't prove it here.)

So the closer (A, B) is to non-controllability, the more energy you need to 'control' certain initial states.

(Matlab examples: construct a non-controllable (A, B) from a Kalman decomposition, and apply the various methods.)

Similarly, there are an infinite number of choices for F that yield a stable  $\Lambda(A + BF) \subset LHP$  (by continuity, for instance.)

- How to find one?
- ▶ How to find the best one (and what does it even mean)?

# How to find a stabilizing control: Bass algorithm

Given a controllable (A, B), how can we compute F so that  $\Lambda(A + BF) \subset LHP$ ?

Let  $\alpha > \rho(A)$ ; then  $\Lambda(-A - \alpha I) \subseteq LHP$ , and the Lyapunov eq.

$$-(A+\alpha I)W - W(A+\alpha I)^* + 2BB^* = 0$$

has a solution  $W \succeq 0$ . It is actually  $W \succ 0$ , because  $(-A - \alpha I, B)$  is controllable iff (A, B) is.

Some algebra gives another Lyapunov equation

$$(A - BB^*W^{-1})W + W(A - BB^*W^{-1})^* + 2\alpha W = 0.$$

Earlier result:  $W \succ 0$ ,  $2\alpha W \succ 0 \implies \Lambda(A - B(B^*W^{-1})) \subset LHP$ .

Remark If (A, B) is controllable, we can find F such that A + BF has any chosen spectrum. (We won't prove it here.) [Datta, Ch. 11]