References

- 1. T. Jech Set theory, Academic Press 1978: Ch. II. 10-14
- 2. F. Drake Set theory, North Holland 1974: Ch. III-IV
- 3. C. C. Chang, H. J. Keisler Model Theory, Elsevier 1998: 1.3, 2.1

Reflexion principle

A set (or class) M reflects the formula ϕ (with the variables x_1, \ldots, x_n free) if for all $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in M$

 $M \models \phi[a_1, \ldots, a_n] \iff \phi(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \text{ is true (in V)}$

Equivalently $\forall a_1, \ldots, a_n \in M(\phi[a_1, \ldots, a_n] \iff \phi^M[a_1, \ldots, a_n])$, where ϕ^M is obtained from ϕ by restricting all quantifiers to M.

Theorem (Montague-Levy). For all formula ϕ with x_1, \ldots, x_n free, and all ordinal α , there exists $\beta > \alpha$ s.t. V_{β} reflects ϕ . **Corollary.** For all A there exists $M \supseteq A$ s.t. $|M| \le \max(|A|, \aleph_0)$, that reflects ϕ .

Corollary. On can reflect simultaneously any finite set of formulas (but not, in general, an infinite set).

Proof. Write
$$\phi \equiv Q_1 y_1 \dots Q_m y_m(\psi(y_1, \dots, y_m; x_1, \dots, x_n))$$
 in prenex
form, let $\phi_r \equiv Q_{r+1} y_{r+1} \dots Q_m y_m(\psi(y_1, \dots, y_m; x_1, \dots, x_n))$, and
put
 $g_r(\overline{y}; \overline{x}) = \begin{cases} \min \{\delta > \alpha \mid \exists y_r \phi_r(\overline{y}; \overline{x}) \to (\exists y_r \in V_{\delta}) \phi_r(\overline{y}; \overline{x})\} \text{ if } Q_r = \exists \min \{\delta > \alpha \mid \neg \forall y_r \phi_r(\overline{y}; \overline{x}) \to (\exists y_r \in V_{\delta}) \phi_r(\overline{y}; \overline{x})\} \text{ if } Q_r = \forall f_1(\gamma) = \max_{1 \leq r \leq m} (\sup\{g_r(\overline{y}; \overline{x}) \mid \overline{y}, \overline{x} \in V_{\gamma}\} + 1), f_{h+1}(\gamma) = f_1(f_h(\gamma)), \quad f_\omega(\gamma) = \sup_{h < \omega} f_h(\gamma). \end{cases}$
Then $g_r(\overline{y}; \overline{x}) < f_\omega(\gamma)$ for all r and all $\overline{y}, \overline{x} \in V_{f_\omega(\gamma)}$, hence
 $Q_r y_r(\phi_r(\overline{y}; \overline{x})) \iff (Q_r y_r \in V_{f_\omega(\gamma)})(\phi_r^{V_{f_\omega(\gamma)}})(\overline{y}; \overline{x})).$
Now the formula $\psi = \phi_m$ has no quantifiers, hence $\phi_m \equiv \phi_m^{V_{f_\omega(\gamma)}}$,
and so, descending by induction on r from m to 0, one gets
that $\phi_r \iff \phi_r^{V_{f_\omega(\gamma)}}$ for $m \ge r \ge 0$.
Finally ϕ_0 is ϕ , and by putting $\beta = f_\omega(\alpha)$, one obtains
 $\forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in V_\beta(\phi[a_1, \dots, a_n]) \iff \phi^{V_\beta}[a_1, \dots, a_n]), \square$

Levy's theorem

Theorem (Levy). Let ϕ be a Σ_1 -formula with x, x_1, \ldots, x_n free, and let κ be an uncountable cardinal. Then for all $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in H(\kappa)$

$$\exists x (\phi(x, a_1, \ldots, a_n)) \implies \exists x \in H(\kappa)(\phi(x, a_1, \ldots, a_n)).$$

Corollary. Any term that "increases cardinality" (like $\mathcal{P}(x)$, $\aleph(x), {}^{y}x$) cannot be Σ_{1}^{ZFC} .

Proof. Remark that we may assume w.l.o.g. that $\kappa = \mu^+$ is a successor cardinal, because, if κ is a limit cardinal, then

$$a_1,\ldots,a_n\in H(\kappa) \iff \exists \mu < \kappa (a_1,\ldots,a_n\in H(\mu^+)).$$

Moreover we may assume that the formula ϕ is Σ_0 , because, if ϕ is $\exists y (\psi(y, x, \overline{x}) \text{ with } \psi \in \Sigma_0$, then $\exists x \phi(x, \overline{a}) \Leftrightarrow \exists z (z = \{x, y\} \land \psi(y, x, \overline{a})), \text{and } z \in H(\kappa) \Rightarrow x, y \in H(\kappa).$

Now assume that given $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in H(\kappa)$ there exists a s.t. $\phi(a,\overline{a})$. Put $B = \{a\} \cup TC(\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\})$, so $|B| < \kappa$, and pick β s.t. $V_{\beta} \supseteq B$ and reflects ϕ , so $V_{\beta} \models \phi(a, \overline{a})$. Close the set B under a countable family of Skolem functions for V_{β} , to get an elementary submodel C of V_{β} of size $|C| = |B| \cdot \aleph_0 < \kappa$. Let π : $C \rightarrow T$ be the Mostowski collapse (that works because the structure $(C, \in_{|C \times C})$ is extensional and wellfounded): then $T \in H(\kappa)$, $\pi_{|TC(\{a_i\})}$ is the identity, hence $a_i \in T$, and $T \models \phi(\pi(a), \overline{a})$. Finally, $\phi \in \Sigma_0$ is absolute for transitive models, hence $\pi(a)$ is the wanted element of $H(\kappa)$.

Gödel-Bernays class theory GB

- Same language $\{\in\}$ of ZF, intended objects are *classes* (denoted by capitals); *sets* (usually denoted by lower case letters) are those classes *that belong to some class*: $Set(x) \Leftrightarrow \exists X(x \in X)$. The finite set of axioms of GB consists of five groups:
 - A. General axioms
- A.1 Extensionality: $\forall x (x \in A \Leftrightarrow x \in B) \Longrightarrow A = B)$
- A.2 Pair: $\forall x, y \exists z (z = \{x, y\}).$
 - B. Class axioms:
- B.1 Membership: $\exists E = \{(x, y) \mid x \in y\};\$
- B.2 Intersection: $\forall A, B \exists C = A \cap B$;
- B.3 (Absolute) Complement: $\forall A \exists B = V \setminus A = \{x \mid x \notin A\};$
- B.4 Cartesian Product: $\forall A \exists B = A \times V = \{(x, y) \mid x \in A\};\$
- B.5 Domain: $\forall A \exists B = \text{dom } A = \{x \mid \exists y (x, y) \in A\};$

- B.6 Cyclic Permutation: $\forall A \exists B = \{(x, y, z) \mid (y, z, x) \in A\};$
- B.7 Transposition: $\forall A \exists B = \{(x, y, z) \mid (x, z, y) \in A\}.$
 - C. Set axioms:
- C.1 Infinite: $\exists x \ (\emptyset \in x \land \forall y (y \in x \Rightarrow y \cup \{y\} \in x));$ C.2 Union: $\forall x \ (\cup x = \{z \mid \exists y \in x \ (z \in y)\} \in V);$
- C.3 Powerset: $\forall x (\mathcal{P}(x) = \{y \mid y \subseteq x\} \in V);$
- C.4 Image: F univalent $\Rightarrow \forall x (F[x] = \{t \mid \exists s \in x ((s,t) \in F)\} \in V);$ N.B. $\cup X, \mathcal{P}(X), F[X]$ exist for any class X, but may be *proper*.
 - D. Foundation: $\forall X \neq \emptyset \exists x \in X (x \cap X = \emptyset)$.
 - E. Universal Choice: $\exists F : V \to V \,\forall x \neq \emptyset(F(x) \in x).$

Theorem. Let ϕ be a formula with all quantifiers restricted to V, whose free variables are among $x_1, \ldots, x_n, X_1, \ldots, X_m$: then, for all A_1, \ldots, A_m , there exists the class $\{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mid \phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n, A_1, \ldots, A_m)\}.$

GB vs. ZF

Clearly $ABCD \vdash V \models \mathsf{ZF}$, and $ABCDE \vdash V \models \mathsf{ZFC}$. More interesting is the followuing **Theorem**. The theories ABCD and ABCDE are consevative extensions of ZF and ZFC, respectively, i.e. they prove exactly the same theorems that involve only sets. **Proof.** Let $\mathfrak{M} = (M, R)$ be a model of ZF, and add to M all subsets of M obtained by taking M for V, R for E, and closing under the operations B.2-B.7. Identify the element $x \in M$ with the "class" $\{t \in M \mid tEx\}$: then one obtains a model \mathfrak{N} of ABCD with exactly the same sets as M. It follows that if $\mathfrak{M} \models \mathsf{ZFC}$, then $\mathfrak{N} \models AC$, but not necessarily

full GB, because E postulates a proper class function: only by forcing one gets a suitable generic extension $\mathfrak{N}' = \mathfrak{N}[G] \models E$.