

Matrix pencils

Definition: Matrix pencil

$A + xB$, with $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, x indeterminate.

A pencil is called **regular** if $n = m$ and $\det(A + xB)$ does not vanish identically, i.e., if there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which it is square invertible.

An **eigenvalue** λ is a value for which $\det(A + \lambda B) = 0$.

Eigenvector, Jordan chains...

If $\det(A + xB)$ has degree less than n , the 'missing' eigenvalues are said to be "at infinity".

Example

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{B} x + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x+1 & x \\ x & x+1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 1 & x \end{bmatrix} = x - x = 0 \quad \text{no singolare}$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 1+x & x \\ x & 1+x \end{bmatrix} = 2x+1 \quad \text{no regolare}$$

se $x = -\frac{1}{2}$, viene $\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ non invertibile

non $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$ è un autovettore di $\begin{bmatrix} 1+x & x \\ x & 1+x \end{bmatrix}$

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ autovettore corrispondente

(autoval/vett. di una matrice $M \leftrightarrow$ di $M - xI$)

$\det(A+Bx)$ has degree at most n

If B is singular, lower degree

Def: A pencil has n -deg $\det(A+Bx)$
eigenvalues at infinity

[remark: $A+Bx$ has an eigenvalue at ∞
iff $Ax+B$ has an eigenvalue at 0]

$\begin{bmatrix} x+1 & x \\ x & x+1 \end{bmatrix}$: eigval at $-\frac{1}{2}, \infty$

$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$: eigval at ∞ with multiplicity 2

Eigenvalues of singular pencils

Can be defined via 'unusual rank drop'. For instance:

$$A + xB = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & x & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ x & x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 2-x \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \quad \left| \begin{bmatrix} 2 & x \\ x & x \end{bmatrix} \right| = 2x - x^2$$

has typical rank 2. More formally, $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}(x)}(A + xB) = 2$.

But $A + \underline{2}B$ has rank 1.

Remark: for almost all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ (apart from a finite set), $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(A + \lambda B)$
 $= \text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}(x)}(A + xB)$.

Canonical form

Equivalence relation \sim : for each two square $\underline{P} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $\underline{Q} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ square invertible, $A + xB$ and $\underline{P}(A + xB)\underline{Q}$ are said to be equivalent.

$$\underline{P}A\underline{Q} + x \cdot \underline{P}B\underline{Q}$$

Equivalent \implies same eigenvalues, singularity...

If B is square nonsingular, there is little new in this theory:

$\underline{A + xB} \sim J - xI$, where J is the Jordan canonical form of $-B^{-1}A$ (or $-AB^{-1}$).

Computing eigenvalues of $\underline{A + xB} \iff$ computing eigenvalues of $-B^{-1}A$

$$\det \underline{P(A + xB)Q} = \det P \det(A + xB) \det Q$$

RMK:

$$M - xI \sim N - xI \iff M \text{ e } N \text{ simili,} \\ M = SNS^{-1}$$

se B invertibile:

$$A + xB \sim -B^{-1}(A + xB) = -B^{-1}A - xI \sim$$

$$\sim S(-B^{-1}A)S^{-1} - xI = J - xI$$

⚡ Jordan form of $-B^{-1}A$

Theorem (Weierstrass canonical form)

For a **regular** matrix pencil $A + xB \in \mathbb{C}[x]^{n \times n}$, there are nonsingular $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $P(A + xB)Q$ is the direct sum (blkdiag) of blocks of the forms

$$J_\lambda(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & \\ & \lambda & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix} - xI, \quad J_\infty(x) = I - x \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Jordan block at λ

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - x & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda - x \end{bmatrix}$$

Jordan block at ∞

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - x & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & -x \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof (sketch):

- ▶ Take c such that $A + cB$ is invertible;
- ▶ $A + xB \sim I + (x - c)(A + cB)^{-1}B$;
- ▶ $A + xB \sim I + (x - c) \text{blkdiag}(J_1, \dots, J_s)$,
- ▶ Consider separately each $I + (x - c)J_i = I + (x - c)(\lambda I + N)$.
- ▶ If $\lambda = 0$, block $\sim I - xM$, where $M = \text{toeplitztriu}(0, 1, \dots)$.
- ▶ If $\lambda \neq 0$, block $\sim M - xI$, where $M = \text{toeplitztriu}(\frac{c\lambda - 1}{\lambda}, \frac{1}{\lambda^2}, \dots)$.

One can define 'Jordan chains' (at λ , at $\infty \dots$)

Generalized Schur factorization

Compare with generalized Schur (QZ) factorization:

Theorem

For any pair of square $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, one can find orthogonal Q, Z such that $QAZ = T_A, QBZ = T_B$ are upper triangular (at the same time).

Eigenvalues = $\frac{(T_A)_{ii}}{(T_B)_{ii}}$ (incl. ∞).

Theorem (Kronecker canonical form)

For a **regular** matrix pencil $A + xB \in \mathbb{C}[x]^{m \times n}$, there are nonsingular $P \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $P(A + xB)Q$ is the direct sum (blkdiag) of blocks of the form $J_\lambda(x)$, $J_\infty(x)$, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & & & \\ & 1 & x & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & x \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}[x]^{k \times (k+1)}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ x & 1 & & & \\ & x & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & 1 & \\ & & & & x \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}[x]^{(k+1) \times k},$$

(This includes 1×0 and 0×1 empty blocks).

Examples

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & x \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dots$$

Proof (sketch): [Gantmacher book '59]

- ▶ Suppose $(A + xB)v(x) = 0$ for some $v \in \mathbb{C}(x)^n$
- ▶ We may assume $v = v_0 + v_1x + \cdots + v_dx^d \in \mathbb{C}[x]^n$, clearing denominators.

- ▶ Remark: singularity of $(d + 1) \times d$
$$\begin{bmatrix} A & & & & \\ B & A & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & B & A \\ & & & & B \end{bmatrix}.$$

- ▶ Assume d minimal.
- ▶ We wish to show that the v_i are linearly independent. Suppose they are not so; then one can choose $\alpha(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1x + \cdots + \alpha_ex^e$ (of minimal degree $e \leq d$) such that $w(x) = \alpha(x)v(x)$ has a zero coefficient w_e . But then $Aw_0 = 0$, $Aw_1 + Bw_0 = 0$, \dots , $Bw_{e-1} = 0$, which contradicts minimality of d .

(cont.)

- ▶ Take a basis that starts with the v_i ; this block-triangularizes the pencil: $\begin{bmatrix} K(x) & L(x) \\ 0 & M(x) \end{bmatrix}$, where $K(x)$ is a Kronecker block.
- ▶ Moreover, by minimality of d , $M(x)$ is such that $d \times (d - 1)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_0 & & & & \\ M_1 & M_0 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & M_1 & M_0 & \\ & & & M_1 & \end{bmatrix} \text{ is nonsingular.}$$

- ▶ Using this nonsingularity, one can prove that the system of Sylvester-like equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & E \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K(x) & L(x) \\ 0 & M(x) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & F \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} K(x) & 0 \\ 0 & M(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

is solvable (some work needed — details not given in the course).

Kernel in $\mathbb{C}(x)$

The $(k \times (k + 1))$ Kronecker blocks have kernel

$$\left[(-1)^k x^k \quad (-1)^{k-1} x^{k-1} \quad \dots \quad -x \quad 1 \right]^T.$$

The other blocks have full column rank in $\mathbb{C}(x)$.

(Remark: the kernel of $\text{blkdiag}(C, D)$ can be obtained by the kernels of C, D .)